

Appl. No. 09/600,054
Amdt. Dated 04/13/2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This Supplemental Amendment is in response to the telephone conference with Examiner Flynn on April 13, 2005. Based on our discussions, all claims are now in condition for allowance.

Double Patenting

Claims 1, 27, 89, and 100 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of the obviousness-type double patenting of the claim in United States Patent No. 6,466,976. Applicant submits herewith a terminal disclaimer to obviate the obviousness-type double patenting rejection. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the obviousness-type double patenting rejection and issue a Notice of Allowance.

Conclusion

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: 04/13/2005

By


William W. Schaal

Reg. No. 39,018

Tel.: (714) 557-3800 (Pacific Coast)

12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, California 90025

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8A)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

MAILING

☐ deposited with the United States Postal Service
as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450,
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date: 4/13/05

FACSIMILE

☒ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and
Trademark Office.


Susan McFarlane

4/13/05

Date